

S/N 09/514,629

PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant: Kie Y. Ahn et al.

Examiner: Unknown

Serial No.: 09/514,629

Group Art Unit: 2811

Filed: February 28, 2000

Docket: 303.678US1

Title: STRUCTURE AND METHOD FOR DUAL GATE OXIDE THICKNESSES

**POWER OF ATTORNEY BY ASSIGNEE AND
CERTIFICATE BY ASSIGNEE UNDER 37 CFR § 3.73(b)**Commissioner for Patents
Washington, D.C. 20231

Micron Technology, Inc., assignee of the entire right, title and interest in the above-identified application by assignment dated February 7, 2000, February 12, 2000, which assignment is recorded in the Patent and Trademark Office at Reel 010643, frames 0281 - 0284, hereby appoints the attorneys and agents of the firm of SCHWEGMAN, LUNDBERG, WOESSNER & KLUTH, P.A., listed as follows:

Anglin, J. Michael	Reg. No. 24,916	Jurkovich, Patti J.	Reg. No. 44,813	Nelson, Albin J.	Reg. No. 28,650
Bianchi, Timothy E.	Reg. No. 39,610	Kalis, Janal M.	Reg. No. 37,650	Nielson, Walter W.	Reg. No. 25,539
Billon, Richard E.	Reg. No. 32,836	Kaufmann, John D.	Reg. No. 24,017	Oh, Allen J.	Reg. No. 42,047
Black, David W.	Reg. No. 42,331	Klima-Silberg, Catherine I.	Reg. No. 40,052	Padys, Danny J.	Reg. No. 35,635
Brennan, Leoniede M.	Reg. No. 35,832	Kluth, Daniel J.	Reg. No. 32,146	Parker, J. Kevin	Reg. No. 33,024
Brennan, Thomas F.	Reg. No. 35,075	Lacy, Rodney L.	Reg. No. 41,136	Perdok, Monique M.	Reg. No. 42,989
Brooks, Edward J., III	Reg. No. 40,925	Lemaire, Charles A.	Reg. No. 36,198	Prout, William F.	Reg. No. 33,995
Chu, Dinh C.P.	Reg. No. 41,676	LeMoine, Dana B.	Reg. No. 40,062	Schumm, Sherry W.	Reg. No. 39,422
Clark, Barbara J.	Reg. No. 38,107	Lundberg, Steven W.	Reg. No. 30,568	Schwegman, Michael L.	Reg. No. 25,816
Dahl, John M.	Reg. No. 44,639	Mack, Lisa K.	Reg. No. 42,825	Smith, Michael G.	Reg. No. 45,368
Drake, Eduardo E.	Reg. No. 40,594	Macyaert, Paul L.	Reg. No. 40,076	Speier, Gary J.	Reg. No. 45,458
Embretson, Janet E.	Reg. No. 39,665	Maki, Peter C.	Reg. No. 42,832	Steffey, Charles E.	Reg. No. 25,179
Fordenbacher, Paul J.	Reg. No. 42,546	Malen, Peter L.	Reg. No. 44,894	Terry, Kathleen R.	Reg. No. 31,884
Forrest, Bradley A.	Reg. No. 30,837	Mates, Robert E.	Reg. No. 35,271	Tong, Viet V.	Reg. No. 45,416
Gamon, Owen J.	Reg. No. 36,143	McCrackin, Ann M.	Reg. No. 42,858	Viksniņš, Ann S.	Reg. No. 37,748
Harris, Robert J.	Reg. No. 37,346	Nama, Kash	Reg. No. 44,255	Woessner, Warren D.	Reg. No. 30,440
Huebsch, Joseph C.	Reg. No. 42,673				

and also attorneys Michael L. Lynch (Reg. No. 30,871) and Lia M. Pappas (Reg. No. 34,095) of Micron Technology, Inc., as its attorneys with full power of substitution to prosecute this application and to transact all business in the Patent and Trademark Office in connection therewith.

The assignee certifies that the above identified assignment has been reviewed and to the best of the assignee's knowledge and belief, title is in the assignee.

Please direct all correspondence regarding this application to the following:

Schwegman, Lundberg, Woessner & Kluth, P.A.
Attn: Edward J. Brooks, III
P.O. Box 2938
Minneapolis, MN 55402

Telephone: (612) 373-6913
Facsimile: (612) 339-3061

Dated: 7-13-00

MICRON TECHNOLOGY, INC.

By: Name: Michael L. LynchTitle: Chief Patent Counsel

No such claim for priority is being made at this time.

I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.

Residence: Chappaqua, NY

Chappaqua, NY 10514

Kie Y. Ahn

Date:

Feb. 7, 2000

Residence: Corvallis, OR

Corvallis, OR 97330

Leonard Forbes

Date:

Residence:

Signature:

Date:

Residence:

Post Office Address:

Signature:

Date:

Serial No not assigned

Filing Date: not assigned

I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.

Full Name of joint inventor number 1 : **Kie Y. Ahn**Citizenship: **United States of America**Residence: **Chappaqua, NY**

Post Office Address: 639 Quaker St.

Chappaqua, NY 10514

Signature: _____

Date: _____

Kie Y. Ahn

Full Name of joint inventor number 2 : **Leonard Forbes**Citizenship: **United States of America**Residence: **Corvallis, OR**

Post Office Address: 965 NW Highland Terrace

Corvallis, OR 97330

Signature: _____

Date: 12 FEB 2004

Leonard Forbes

Full Name of inventor:

Citizenship:

Residence:

Post Office Address:

Signature: _____

Date: _____

Full Name of inventor:

Citizenship:

Residence:

Post Office Address:

Signature: _____

Date: _____

§ 1.56 Duty to disclose information material to patentability.

(a) A patent by its very nature is affected with a public interest. The public interest is best served, and the most effective patent examination occurs when, at the time an application is being examined, the Office is aware of and evaluates the teachings of all information material to patentability. Each individual associated with the filing and prosecution of a patent application has a duty of candor and good faith in dealing with the Office, which includes a duty to disclose to the Office all information known to that individual to be material to patentability as defined in this section. The duty to disclose information exists with respect to each pending claim until the claim is canceled or withdrawn from consideration, or the application becomes abandoned. Information material to the patentability of a claim that is canceled or withdrawn from consideration need not be submitted if the information is not material to the patentability of any claim remaining under consideration in the application. There is no duty to submit information which is not material to the patentability of any existing claim. The duty to disclose all information known to be material to patentability is deemed to be satisfied if all information known to be material to patentability of any claim issued in a patent was cited by the Office or submitted to the Office in the manner prescribed by §§ 1.97(b)-(d) and 1.98. However, no patent will be granted on an application in connection with which fraud on the Office was practiced or attempted or the duty of disclosure was violated through bad faith or intentional misconduct. The Office encourages applicants to carefully examine:

- (1) prior art cited in search reports of a foreign patent office in a counterpart application, and
- (2) the closest information over which individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application believe any pending claim patentably defines, to make sure that any material information contained therein is disclosed to the Office.

(b) Under this section, information is material to patentability when it is not cumulative to information already of record or being made of record in the application, and

- (1) it establishes, by itself or in combination with other information, a prima facie case of unpatentability of a claim; or
- (2) it refutes, or is inconsistent with, a position the applicant takes in:
 - (i) opposing an argument of unpatentability relied on by the Office, or
 - (ii) asserting an argument of patentability.

A prima facie case of unpatentability is established when the information compels a conclusion that a claim is unpatentable under the preponderance of evidence, burden-of-proof standard, giving each term in the claim its broadest reasonable construction consistent with the specification, and before any consideration is given to evidence which may be submitted in an attempt to establish a contrary conclusion of patentability.

(c) Individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application within the meaning of this section are:

- (1) Each inventor named in the application;
- (2) Each attorney or agent who prepares or prosecutes the application; and
- (3) Every other person who is substantively involved in the preparation or prosecution of the application and who is associated with the inventor, with the assignee or with anyone to whom there is an obligation to assign the application.

(d) Individuals other than the attorney, agent or inventor may comply with this section by disclosing information to the attorney, agent, or inventor.